URBACT



Implementation Networks

Peter Ramsden
UDN Peer Review Workshop
Paris 28 January 2016

URBACT IN A NUTSHELL

- European Territorial Cooperation programme (ETC), financed by ERDF and Member States/ Partner States (Switzerland and Norway)
- Main objective:
 To promote integrated and sustainable urban development in EU cities
- Managing Authority: France "General Commission for Territorial Equality"
- Monitoring Committee composed by MS/PS, Commission, CoR, CEMR
- 500 Cities and 5000 local actors involved in 61 Networks with URBACT

WHAT IS URBACT ABOUT?

RESULTS

Improving urban policies and practices
Strengthening capacities

PEOPLE

A community of urban practitioners & policy-makers Elected representatives Cities and beyond

WORKING METHODS AND TOOLS

Networking, Sharing, learning, building capacities with participatory and integrated approach

3 TYPES OF NETWORKS PROPOSED BY URBACT III

- Action Planning Networks
- Implementation Networks
- Transfer Networks



IMPLEMENTATION NETWORKS

Main purpose

To support cities with the implementation of integrated sustainable urban strategies/ action plan

Who?

Cities that are implementing integrated strategies and action plans having secured different types of funding, including cities implementing integrated urban strategies and actions under article 7 (e.g. ITI) and article 8 (innovative actions).

Expected outcomes

Better implementation

Learning and recommendations for EU cities



Eligible beneficiaries

- Cities having an integrated urban strategy and/or action plan addressing a policy challenge at local level AND secured funding to start the implementation of this strategy/ action plan within the lifetime of the URBACT network.
- Must be a city from one of the EU 28 Member States, Norway
 & Switzerland including:
 - Cities, municipalities, towns without limit of size
 - Infra-municipal tiers of government
 - Metropolitan authorities & organized agglomerations

IMPLEMENTATION NETWORKS

Main beneficiaries

Cities from EU 28 Member States, Norway & Switzerland including:

- Cities, municipalities, towns without limit of size
- Infra-municipal tiers of government
- Metropolitan authorities & organized agglomerations

Partnerships

- A lead partner city
- 7 to 9 partner cities
- Balance between partners from less developed regions and partners from more developed/ transition regions

Thematic coverage

Open to all thematic objectives of the Cohesion Policy



Implementation related challenges

- Ensuring the integrated approach in the delivery of urban policies
- Maintaining the involvement of local stakeholders in the delivery stage
- Setting up efficient indicators and monitoring systems to measure performance
- Organising the "project pipeline" (calls for projects, selection criteria, supporting project delivery, etc.)
- Organising decision-making, city services and staff for delivery
- Moving from an integrated urban strategy to operational action plans
- Communicating progress with delivery of urban policies to key stakeholders
- Setting up Public Private Partnerships for delivery
- Designing smart public procurement frameworks
- Enhancing funding of urban policies by exploring financial inspire, innovate and exchange (urban development funds, crowd-funding, etc.)

FUNDING AND RESOURCES

- Total Budget 600 000 -750 000 Euro
- Less developed and Transition: 85% ERDF
- More developed: 70% ERDF
- Additional envelope for expert support up to 127.000 euros/ network
- Ongoing support by the URBACT Secretariat (tools, methods, training, etc.)



The URBAC II I Local Support Group Toolkit June 2013 **BUILDING CAPACITIES** MAKING THE MOST OF TRANSNATIONAL EXCHANGE

Self assessment tool

*URB		assessment tool for ACT Local Support Groups	European Union Ganager Regions Configurate Vod
Local Action Plan	put name of your city here		
Network	put network name here		
Completed by	put your name here		
Instructions	where 1 is v	• •	olumn by entering a score between 1 and the score criteria on the right to see what or each indicator.
	GC	OVERNANCE & DECISION	I MAKING
Indicators	Score awarded out of 5	Evidence for score - why was the score given -	Indications for scoring
Frequency of meetings	0		score 1: LSG has few meetings (e.g. Or per year) score 3: Regular meetings, no info on participation score 5: Regular and frequent meetings

Organisation of LSG

0

meeting notes Urban Development Network score 11- of enough time pert of another

score 3: More time, but not well organised score 5: Enough time, well organised

CALENDER

- Launch event in Brussels 23 March 2016
- March-June 2016: applicants preparing bids
- June 2016: submission of proposals
- June-August 2016: eligibility check & assessment
- Oct 2016: MC approval funding 6-month preparatory phase – networks start

Grazie Thanks Danke Merci Gracias Ευχαριστώ multumesc Takk dziękuję dakujem hvala tänan kiitos köszönöm aciu Tack děkuji paldies niżżik ħajr dank u wel